RECEIVED

MIG 7 - 1992

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSIÓN Washington, DC 20554

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

In the matter of Amendment to Section 1.773 of the) Commission's Rules Regarding Pleading Cycle for Petitions Against Tariff Filings Made on 14-Days' Notice

CC Docket No. 92-117

REPLY COMMENTS OF GTE

GTE Service Corporation and its affiliated domestic telephone operating companies

Richard McKenna, HQE03J36 GTE Service Corporation P.O. Box 152092 Irving, TX 75015-2092 (214) 718-6362

Gail L. Polivy 1850 M Street, N.W. Suite 1200 Washington, DC 20036 (202) 463-5214

August 7, 1992

Their Attorneys

No. of Copies rec'd

ListABCDE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAGE
SUMM	MARY	ii
1.	The filing carrier should have at least two business days to reply	2
2.	Personal service of replies should not be required	3
3.	Transmission of filings via fax should not be required.	3

SUMMARY

GTE agrees with the Commission's proposed changes in the rules governing the pleading cycle for tariff filings made on 14 day's notice, except that the rules should make it clear that:

(1) parties outside North America may be served by a domestic or Washington DC representative; (2) personal service may be accomplished by facsimile or overnight mail capable of delivery to both street and post office box addresses; and (3) tariff filers and petitioners must designate contact telephone and facsimile numbers within their filed documents. Further:

- (i) GTE supports the Bell Atlantic proposal that the filing carrier should always have a minimum of two business days to prepare and file a reply.
- (ii) GTE supports the proposal of U S WEST that the Commission should continue to allow carriers the option to serve petitioners by mail.
- (iii) GTE opposes as burdensome and unnecessary IRA's recommendations of a requirement for same-day fax transmission of transmittal pages to interested parties and, within two business hours of a telephone or fax request from interested parties, of the entire transmittal.

RECEIVED

AUG 7 - 1992

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, DC 20554

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

In the matter of)				
)				
Amendment to Section 1.773 of the)	CC	Docket	No.	92-117
Commission's Rules Regarding)				
Pleading Cycle for Petitions)				
Against Tariff Filings Made on)				
14-Days' Notice)				

REPLY COMMENTS OF GTE

GTE Service Corporation, on behalf of its affiliated domestic telephone operating companies ("GTE"), offers its reply to filings by Bell Atlantic, the Interexchange Resellers Association ("IRA") and U S WEST Communications, Inc. ("U S WEST") in response to the Commission's Notice of Proposed Rulemaking ("NPRM"), released June 1, 1992. The NPRM proposes to adjust the pleading cycle governing tariff filings made on 14-days' notice and tentatively concludes that all pleadings must be personally served on the parties involved in the tariff proceeding.

In its comments, GTE generally supported the rule change, but proposed modifying the rule to (1) allow parties outside

North America to be served by a domestic or Washington DC representative; (2) clarify that personal service can be accomplished by facsimile or overnight mail capable of delivery to both street and post office box addresses; and (3) require tariff filers and petitioners to designate contact telephone and facsimile numbers within their filed documents.

DISCUSSION

1. The filing carrier should have at least two business days to reply.

Bell Atlantic (at 2) correctly observes that the proposed rules might afford a filing carrier less than a day to prepare and file a reply: "If a petition is filed late on a Friday afternoon, the person who would prepare the reply may not actually receive it until the following Monday, which is the day the reply would be due." Virtually any party would find it difficult to gather meaningful information and prepare an effective response in such a short time. GTE supports the proposal of Bell Atlantic (at 2) that "the filing carrier should always have a minimum of two business days to prepare and file a reply". GTE believes the Commission will still have sufficient time to evaluate and respond to all opposing arguments and rebuttals.

2. Personal service of replies should not be required.

Multiple commentors noted that personal service of replies should not be required. Cited as supporting reasons were lack of opportunity to file a surreply, and the fact that personal service has no impact on the Commission's time to (i) consider petitions and replies and then (ii) draft an order. GTE supports the proposal of U S WEST (at 4) that the Commission should modify its proposal and "continue to allow carriers the option to serve petitioners by mail."

3. Transmission of filings via fax should not be required.

IRA (at 1) recommends the Commission "order carriers that file tariff amendments on 14 day's notice be required to transmit such filings via facsimile (fax) on the same date that the carrier files a transmittal with the Commission". In addition, IRA (at 1) recommends "carriers fax the tariff transmittal pages to interested parties and make provisions to fax the entire contents of the transmittal within two business hours of a telephone or fax request from interested parties".

GTE opposes adoption of IRA's recommendations because it would place a substantial and unnecessary administrative burden on filing carriers, as well as the Commission.

Respectfully submitted,

GTE Service Corporation and its affiliated domestic telephone operating companies

Richard McKenna, HQE03J36 GTE Service Corporation P.O. Box 152092 Irving, TX 75015-2092 (214) 718-6362

Gail L. Polivy
1850 M Street, N.W.
Suite 1200
Washington, DC 20036
(202) 463-5214

August 7, 1992

Their Attorneys

Certificate of Service

I, Jennifer R. McCain, hereby certify that copies of the foregoing "Reply Comments of GTE" have been mailed by first class United States mail, postage prepaid, on the 7th day of August, 1992 to the following parties.

Jennifer R. McCain

Service List CC Docket No. 92-117

Francine J. Berry, Esq.
American Telephone and
Telegraph Company
Room 3244J1
295 North Maple Avenue
Basking Ridge, NJ 07920

Mark R. Ortlieb, Esq.
Ameritech Operating Companies
2000 West Ameritech Center Dr.
Room 4H84
Hoffman Estates, IL
60196-1025

Rebecca M. Lough, Esq. BellSouth Telecommunications Suite 1800 1155 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, GA 30367

Donald J. Elardo, Esq.
MCI Telecommunication
 Corporation
1801 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
Washington, DC 20006

Spencer L. Perry, Jr.
Executive Director
Interexchange Resellers
Association
P.O. Box 5090
Hoboken, NJ 07030

Betsy S. Granger, Esq. Pacific Bell/Nevada Bell 1275 Pennsylvania Ave, NW Washington, DC 20004

Thomas A. Pajda, Esq.
Southwestern Bell Telephone
Company
1010 Pine Street, Room 2114
St. Louis, MI 63101

Randolph J. May, Esq.
Sutherland, Asbill & Brennan
1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004
Counsel for Cipital
Cities/ABC, CBS, NBC, and
TBS

Andrew O. Isar, Esq.
Director of Industry Relations
Telecommunications
Marketing Association
14405 SE 36th Street
Suite 300
Bellevue, WA 98006

Lawrence W. Katz, Esq.
The Bell Atlantic Telephone
Companies
1710 H Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006

James T. Hannon, Esq.
U S WEST Communications, Inc.
1020 19th Street, N.W.
Suite 700
Washington, DC 20036

Martin T. McCue, Esq.
Vice President and
General Counsel
U.S. Telephone Association
900 19th Street, NW
Suite 800
Washington, DC 20006-2105